Statement

(Rs. Crore)

Plan	State's Proposal	Agreed/ Approved Outlay	Increase over the Previous Annual Plan	Percent of the Ninth plan	Total Central Assistance as % age of Annual Plan Outlays
Ninth Plan	28000	28000	43.48% (over VIll Plan)		30.50%
1997-98	4500	4500	*33%*	16.07%	21.46%
1998-99	5450	5450	21.11%	19.46%	25.89%
1999-2000	6550	6550	20.18%	23.39%	21.87%
2000-01	7600	7600	16.03%	27.14%	19.24%

Per Capita Allocation for Bihar

1370. SHRI VIJAY SINGH YADAV: Will *the* PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the per capita allocation, which has been *done* by means of Planning Commission and Finance Commission is lower in Bihar as compared to that of the other States;

(b) whether it is a also a fact that the said transfer, done by means of I.D.B.I., NABARD, is trifle;

(c) if so, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether the amount of money for Bihar, is being invested in the other States; and

(e) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING, MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES OF THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPARTMENT DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) Yes Sir. Planning Commission provides Central Assistance to the States to part-finance

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

their Annual Plans. The allocation of Central Assistance is done on the basis of Population, deviation of Per Capita State Domestic Product (SDP) from the national average, Tax Efforts and special problems. A table containing State-wise allocation of total Central Assistance and per capita assistance is enclosed in the Statement—*{See* below). The devolution to States by way of Share of Central Taxes is done by Finance Commission on the basis of criteria of population, per capita SDP, Tax efforts, etc. A table containing State-wise share of Central Taxes and per capita share is given in the Statement-II *(See* below),

(b) and (c) The level of loan provided by NABARD and IDBI wholly depends on the ability of the concerned State Government to fulfil the stipulated conditions laid by these agencies.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

Statement-I

Total Central Assistance to States and Percapita Central Assistance

		Total Central Assistance			Percapita Central Assistance		
		1997-98 (Rs. Crore)	1998-99	1999-2000	1997-98 (Rupees)	1998-99	1999-2000
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2148.56	3075.65	3210.5	290.35	409.00	420.77
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	478.1	534.82	574.17	4781.00	5348.20	5219.73
3.	*Assam*	1308.77	1443.13	1616.11	511.24	552.92	607.56
4.	Bihar	1809.07	2019.35	2390.27	183.10	200.33	232.74
5.	Goa	74.52	77.72	90.11	573.23	597.85	643.64
6.	Gujarat	1175.52	1409.84	1433.77	263.57	300.61	300.58
7.	Haryana	672.76	952.63	1022.31	357.85	498.76	524.26
8.	Himachal Pradesh	645.3	915.41	809.71	1112.59	1551.54	1327.39
9.	Jammu and Kashmir	2254.94	2459.24	2631.98	2562.43	2732.49	2860.85
10.	Karnataka	1076.14	1195.65	1612.41	217.84	239.13	318.03
11.	Kerala	804.57	768.03	873.8	253.81	238.60	268.04
12.	Madhya Pradesh	1464.95	2087.21	2184.32	195.85	274.27	281.85
13.	Maharashtra	2171.59	1866	1501.88	243.45	205.28	162.37
14.	Manipur	386.81	456.27	506.08	1841.95	2073.95	2300.36
15.	Meghalaya	327.31	376.31	421.77	1636.55	1791.95	2008.43

	Total Central Assistance 1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000 *(Rs. Crore)*	*Pereapita Central Assistance* 1997-98 (Rupees)	1998-99	1999-2000
16. Mizoram	309.08	335.56	384.67	3863.50	4194.90	4274.11
17. Nagaland	390.72	3474	394.76	2338.13	2316	246725
18. Orissa	1402.88	1605.6	2031.11	399.18	444.76	553.44
19. Punjab	979.8	687.38	742.73	441.35	305.50	329.76
20. Rajasthan	1164.04	1399.65	1648.12	232.81	274.44	317.56
21. Sikkim	225.33	251.52	32992	4506.60	5030.40	6598 40
21. Tamil Nadu	176483	1699.78	1574.8	297.11	283.77	260.30
23. Tripura	417	598.75	696.12	1303.13	1814.39	198824
24. Uttar Pradesh	3874.46	4334.69	6173.76	249.80	275.04	389.99
29. West Bengal	2309.72	2734.09	3315.58	309.92	356.47	426.72
TOTAL.	29596.77	33631.95	38132.76	331.80	354.43	395.49

Statement-II

State-wise distribution of Income-Tax, Basic Excise Duties and Additional Excise Duties

	Total Central Assistance I997-98 (Rs.Crore:)	1998-99	1999-2000	Percapita Central Assistance 1997-98 (Rupees.)	1998-99	1999-2000
1. *Andhra* Pradesh	3410.74	3008.17	3450.74	460.91	40002	425.26
2. Arunachal Pradesh	243.83	268.84	317.66	2438.30	2688.40	2887.82
3. Assam	1475.25	1349.33	1480.42	576.27	516.98	556.55
4. Bihar	5050.96	4441.23	5099.23	511.23	440.60	496.52
5. Om	110.24	97.12	98.44	848.00	747.08	703.14
6. Gujarat	1704.25	1511.84	1731.65	382.12	322.35	363.03
7. Haryana	539.31	490.04	949.23	286.87	251.33	281.66
8. Himachal Pradesh	70449	728.86	823.22	1214.98	1235.36	1349.54
9. *Jammu* and Kashmir	1099 23	IIS199	I33l.lt	1249.13	1280.61	1446.93
10. Karnataka	2176.33	1923.92	2206.03	440.55	384.78	435.11
11. Kerala	1566.01	1382.3	1585.48	494.01	429.29	486.34
12. Madhya Pradesh	3326.64	2932.13	3364.01	444.74	385.30	434.07

		Total Central Assistance			Percapita Central Assistance		
		1997-98 (Rs. Crore)	1998-99	1999-2000	1997-98 (Rupees)	1998-99	1999-2000
13.	Maharashtra	2678.8	2385.81	2729.4:3	300,31	262.47	295.07
14.	Manipur	310.82	331-68	388.49	1480.10	1507.64	1765.86
15.	Meghalaya	286.77	300.55	342.81	1433.85	1431.19	1632.43
16.	Mizoram	247.92	280.09	324.17	3099.00	3501.13	3601.89
17.	Nagaland	380.81	437.19	524.12	253».73	2914.60	3275.75
18.	Orissa	1934.96	1664.52	1828.47	545.06	461.09	498.22
19.	Punjab	656.98	587.16	671.13	295.94	260.96	294.36
20.	Rajasthan	2230.27	1964.27	2253.56	446.05	385.15	434.21
21.	Sikkim	106.9	109.63	126.20	2138.00	2192.60	2524.00
22.	Tamil Nadu	2728.3	2408.98	2761.63	459.31	402.17	456.47
23.	Tripura	429.77	457.02	530.54	1343.03	1384.91	1607.70
24.	Uttar pradesh	7114.66	6267.72	7192.06	458.71	397.70	449.50
25.	West Bengal	3047.77	2692.14	3086.90	403.68	351.00	397.28
	TOTAL.	43562.21	38163.09	44796.80	488.37	412.72	464.60

Restructuring of Planning Commission

1371. SHRI NANA DESHMUKH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state.

(a) whether a blue print for restructuring of the Planning Commission is in the pipeline for quite long;

(b) what are its salient features;

(c) whether Government would ensure that the agriculture, wasteland drought and excessive rains in one part and to the contrary on the other part of the country are well monitored by so called print; and

(d) what special efforts are being planned for improvement in education?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING, MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND PUBLIC